

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,269	01/02/2004	Jonathan M. Katz	VIT.P0029	1735
7590 06/09/2005			EXAMINER	
Edward G. Greive			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
Renner, Kenner,	Greive, Bobak, Taylor &	& Weber		
First National Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fourth Floor			3752	
Akron, OH 44308-1456			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan		10/750,269	KATZ ET AL.			
Oil	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The A	TAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication app y	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'S DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, red by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This ac 3) ☐ Since t	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of C	laims					
4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(a 6) ☑ Claim(a 7) ☐ Claim(a	s) <u>1-10,12-23,25-28 and 30-36</u> is/are pe the above claim(s) is/are withdray s) is/are allowed. s) <u>1-10,12-23,25-28 and 30-36</u> is/are rej s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Pap	ers					
10)⊡ The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examine twing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent may not request that any objection to the element drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the correction is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). iected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	rledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority Application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	rences Cited (PTO-892)	d\ □ Intonéan Suma	(PTO 412)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ste atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The response filed March 31, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 12, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosenkranz (3,006,560).

Rosenkranz discloses a device comprising: a tube 11; a spray head 14; a plunger 32 having a nose 33 and a base 34 having a rim 35; a seal 17; a spring 37.

4. Claims 20, 21, 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Card, Jr. (2,009,575).

Card discloses a device comprising: a tube 31; a spray head 32; a self having at least one rib 34; a plunger 36; a seal 35; a spring 37.

5. Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 13, 14, 20, 21, 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benson et al. (2,570,909).

Benson discloses a device comprising: a tube 5, 14; a spray head 20; a plunger having a nose 19 and a base 17 having a rim 18; a seal 22; a spring 12; at least one rib 23; a second seal 25, 26.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,269

Art Unit: 3752

6. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marty et al. (4,562,960).

Marty discloses a device comprising: a tube 14; a spray head 12; a plunger 70 having a nose 73 and a base 58 having a rim 66; a seal 22; a second seal 49; aperture 38; spray apertures (between vanes 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 5, 6, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenkranz (3,006,560) in view of Schwaderer et al. (5,238,221).

Rosenkranz discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the device at the other end of the hose. Schwaderer discloses a device having a button 31, valve 13 and spring 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the device of Schwaderer to the hose of Rosenkranz for plug in coupling.

8. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marty et al. (4,562,960).

Marty discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the nose being received over the stem. Marty discloses a one piece nose 73 and stem 70. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a nose over the stem in the device of Marty for assembly/disassembly, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral

Application/Control Number: 10/750,269

Art Unit: 3752

structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

9. Claims 20, 21, 25, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenkranz (3,006,560) in view of Neuner (6,341,706).

Rosenkranz discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the at least one rib. Neuner discloses a rib 62 to improve the seal between surface 64 and surface 11. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a rib on the shelf of Rosenkranz as taught by Neuner to improve the seal (Neuner, column 8, lines 9-12).

10. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Card, Jr. (2,009,575) in view of Schwaderer et al. (5,238,221).

Card discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the device at the other end of the hose. Schwaderer discloses a device having a button 31, valve 13 and spring 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the device of Schwaderer to the hose of Card for plug in coupling.

11. Claims 28, 30-33, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilmour (2,536,167) in view of Schwaderer et al. (5,238,221).

Gilmour discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the connecting device. Schwaderer discloses a connecting device having a button 31, valve 13 and spring 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the invention to have provided the connecting device of Schwaderer to the hose of Gilmour for plug in coupling.

12. Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vita-Mix Corporation (IDS, Other Document #2) in view of Schwaderer et al. (5,238,221).

Vita-Mix Corporation discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the connecting device. Schwaderer discloses a connecting device having a button 31, valve 13 and spring 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the connecting device of Schwaderer to the hose of Vita-Mix Corporation for plug in coupling.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-23, 25-28 and 30-36 have 13. been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM -5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK